





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,897	02/09/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	SEL 161	3195	
7590 05/25/2004 Mark J Murphy Cook Alex Mcfarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler LTD 200 West Adams Street Suite 2850			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MISLEH,	MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Chicago, IL 60606		2612	1)	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Examiner Justin P Misleh 2012		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Justin P Misleh 2812	Advisory Action	09/500,897	YAMAZAKI ET AL.				
The RAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either; (1) a timely filled Motion of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or (3) a timely filled Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet), or (3) a timely filled Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or (b) The period for reply expires of, (1) the making date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires of, (1) the making date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, increase, with the statistic period for reply expire later than 50 KNOKTHS for the making date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, increase, with the statistic period for reply expire later than 50 KNOKTHS for the making date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, increase, with the statistic period for reply expire later than 50 KNOKTHS for the making date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, increase, with the statistic period for reply expire later than 50 KNOKTHS for the making date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, increase, and the final rejection of the final rejection. **SEATOR TOTAL		Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant its required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled mention of the final rejection (CE) in complication with 37 CFR 1.13 may only be either: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filled mention of the final rejection. The period for reply express 4 months from the melling date of the final rejection. **PERIOD FOR REPLY** (check either a) or b) **PERIOD FOR R		Justin P Misleh	2612				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filled amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutely period for reply sopile later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. The 2070; CN THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP TO 170 (27); CN THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP TO 170 (27); CN THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP TO 170 (27); CN THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP TO 170 (27); CN THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST AND	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiple can be called a chiple can be called a chiple cation.				
b) The petiod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory petiod for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700 (2) as 20 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: **The amendments to the independent Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13 raise new issues.** 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The an ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments to the independent Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13 reise new issues. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The alifidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:							
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The amendments to the independent Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13 reise new issues. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISIONER SUPERVISIONER SUPERVISIONER SUPERVISIONER SUPERVISIONER SUPERVISIONER.							
issues for appeal; and/or (d)							
NOTE: The amendments to the independent Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13 raise new issues. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). WENDY: GARBER WENDY: GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY DISCRETER 2600							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) WENDY R. GARBER SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) **S. Patent and Tredemark Office** **S. Patent and Tredemark Office** **The drawing correction filed on is a) **The drawn office** **S. Patent and Tredemark Office** **The drawn office** **S. Patent and Tredemark Office** **The drawn office** **The drawn office** **The drawn office** **S. Patent and Tredemark Office** **The drawn office** **The	NOTE: <u>The amendments to the independent Cla</u>	<u>ims 1, 5, 9, and 13 raise new issue</u>	<u>98</u> .				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600		be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. □ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) □ will not be entered or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. □ The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. □ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) WENDYR, GARBER WENDYR, GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600							
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISION PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISION CENTER 2600		cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: WENDYR. GARBER WENDYR. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER. S. Patent and Trademark Office	Claim(s) objected to:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 16</u> .						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: WENDY R. GARBER WENDY R. GARBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600							
10. Other:	8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	O. Other:						
		1EC	t trans.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)